UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/534,749	05/31/2005	Jorge Setoain Quinquer	ABG 3002	1639
10/534,749 05/31/2005 Jorge Setoain Quinquer 30868 7590 04/15/2009 KRAMER & AMADO, P.C. 1725 DUKE STREET SUITE 240 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			EXAMINER	
			JONES, DAMERON LEVEST	
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1618	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/15/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Interview Summary	10/534,749	QUINQUER ET AL.				
men view Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	D. L. Jones	1618				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):						
(1) <u>D. L. Jones</u> .	(3)					
(2) <u>Thomas Powers</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: <u>13 April 2009</u> .						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]						
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:						
Claim(s) discussed: all pending claims.						
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>n/a</u> .						
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g)□ was not reached. h)□ N/A.						
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>The Examiner and the Attorney discussed the restriction requirement mailed 4/1/09. It was determined that Groups (15) - (20), (23), and (24) should be deleted from the restriction requirement. During the interview, both parties discussed the rejoinder paragraph on pages 7-8 of the restriction requirement and the fact that the claims lack unity of invention and the reasons why.</u>						
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)						
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						
/D L. Jones/ 4/13/09						
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1618						